

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1	DEFORE THE ARIZONA CORT ORATION COMMISSION
2	JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman WILLIAM A MUNDELL DOCKETED
3	Commissioner
4	MIKE GLEASON FEB 2 0 2007 Commissioner
5	KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner DOCKETED BY \(\sqrt{0}\)
6	GARY PIERCE Commissioner
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NO. G-04204A-06-0627
9	OF UNS GAS INC. FOR PRE-APPROVAL OF COST RECOVERY FOR THE DECISION NO. 69333
10	ACQUISITION OF PIPELINE CAPACITY ORDER ON THE TRANSWESTERN PHOENIX
11	EXPANSION PROJECT.
12	
13	
14 15	Open Meeting February 13 and 14, 2007 Phoenix, Arizona
16	BY THE COMMISSION:
17	FINDINGS OF FACT
18	1. UNS Gas Inc. ("UNS") is engaged in providing natural gas service within portions
19	of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission
20	("Commission").
21	2. On October 23, 2006, UNS filed for Commission pre-approval of cost recovery for
22	participation in the Transwestern Pipeline's ("Transwestern") Phoenix Expansion Project
23	("Project").
24	3. The Project is a new project which Transwestern would undertake, comprising a
25	combination of some existing pipeline capacity in some segments, and some new construction.
26	The Project would provide additional access to San Juan basin natural gas to shippers, including
27	UNS. The Project would run through Yavapai County, where UNS would take service via three
28	

taps in the Prescott area. The Project would then skirt the western side of the Phoenix metro area before entering Pinal County and ending near Coolidge, Arizona.

4. On January 30, 2007, Staff filed its Staff Report in this matter, containing Staff's

evaluation and recommendations regarding the Southwest filing.

5. UNS currently receives interstate pipeline service from both El Paso Natural Gas Company ("El Paso") and Transwestern. UNS receives service from El Paso in Santa Cruz County and in many locations in northern Arizona, including the Prescott area. UNS currently

receives service from Transwestern in the Flagstaff and Kingman areas.

- 6. UNS' service on El Paso has changed significantly in recent years, including the conversion from full requirements to contract demand rights in 2003 and the introduction of new service, penalty, and other operational provisions in the current rate case proceeding before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). On December 6, 2006, a proposed settlement agreement was filed with FERC in the El Paso rate case.
- 7. Under UNS' precedent agreement with Transwestern, UNS would take monthly sculpted service on the Project at the Prescott South, Airport Station, and Chino Valley delivery points. The precedent agreement reflects a 15-year term, during which UNS would pay a fixed \$0.415 per decatherm ("dth") reservation charge for receipt of natural gas in the San Juan basin for delivery in the Prescott area.
- 8. Introduction of some level of pipeline competition into central Arizona via the Project would diversify Arizona's natural gas infrastructure, would provide some shippers and delivery points with a competitive option, would provide additional access to historically cheaper San Juan supply basin natural gas, and could lead to the creation of a market center for natural gas pricing in Arizona. Additionally, UNS has indicated that introduction of the Project to the Prescott area would enhance service reliability and would reduce the cost of future capital costs for infrastructure in the area.
- 9. UNS' application contains a cost comparison that indicates that UNS expects that it would save on both pipeline service costs and commodity costs by taking service from Transwestern on the Project rather than acquiring additional Permian supply basin sourced pipeline

Decision No. 69333

capacity from El Paso. Proposed rates in the El Paso rate case settlement agreement before FERC are lower than those contained in UNS' initial cost comparison, but even with the lower proposed El Paso settlement rates, service on the Project for UNS is projected to be less costly.

- 10. The Staff Report discusses a variety of issues related to UNS' application in greater detail.
- 11. UNS states in its application that its filing is pursuant to the Commission's December 18, 2003 Policy Statement Regarding New Natural Gas Pipeline and Storage Costs, which encourages development of needed natural gas infrastructure in Arizona.
 - 12. The Staff Report recommends adoption of the following conditions:
 - The Commission retains full authority to review UNS' gas procurement activities, including its management of all pipeline capacity and related activities, recognizing that the Commission is pre-approving the underlying acquisition of the Transwestern capacity during the initial term of the agreement with Transwestern. The pre-approval being granted in this proceeding would expire upon completion of the initial term.
 - The impact, if any, on UNS' risk profile resulting from pre-approval of costs related to Transwestern pipeline capacity would be considered within the context of future UNS rate proceedings.
 - UNS shall file a status report on the Transwestern project and UNS' participation in the
 project with the Commission every six months until either UNS begins taking service from
 Transwestern or UNS' participation in the project is terminated.
 - UNS shall file a notice with the Commission within ten days of when the exact volumetric
 and fuel rates, applicable for UNS when UNS begins service with Transwestern, are set for
 the Transwestern pipeline.
 - UNS shall file a notice with the Commission within ten days of each of the following events regarding the Transwestern project: a) FERC granting approval of the pipeline, b) Transwestern beginning construction of the pipeline, c) Transwestern completing construction of the pipeline, and d) UNS beginning to take service from the Transwestern pipeline.

• UNS shall file a notice with the Commission if at any time either UNS or Transwestern exercises termination rights pursuant to the precedent agreement or if any other events significantly impact UNS' participation in the Transwestern project, within ten days of any such action.

ñ. 1.

- Pre-approval of the specific costs related to UNS' acquisition of capacity on the Transwestern pipeline is granted based upon the specific and unique conditions considered in this application and will in no way commit or predispose the Commission regarding any future considerations of pre-approval of costs. Rather, the standing presumption would be that the Commission would not grant pre-approval in future proceedings, absent a careful consideration of unique, serious, and important circumstances which would require such action.
- None of the pre-approved costs will be passed on to UNS' ratepayers until all of the following occur:
 - A. The Transwestern pipeline is built and operational.
 - B. UNS is receiving service on the Transwestern project consistent with the precedent agreement and this order.
- 13. Staff believes that UNS' participation in the Project is reasonable given the information available at this time.
- 14. Staff has recommended that the Commission pre-approve cost recovery of UNS' specific costs related to the Project at issue in this proceeding, including the reservation charges, volumetric charges, fuel charges, and applicable surcharges, subject to certain conditions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. UNS is an Arizona public service corporation with the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over UNS and over the subject matter of this application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum and attached Staff Report dated January 30, 2007, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve

Decision No. 69333

Decision No. _69333

28

1	SERVICE LIST FOR: UNS Gas, Inc. DOCKET NO. G-04204A-06-0627
2	DOCKET NO. G-04204A-00-0027
3	Mr. Michael W. Patten
4	Roshka Dewulf & Patten PLC One Arizona Center
5	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for UNS Gas, Inc.
7	Mr. Ernest G. Johnson
8	Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
9	1200 West Washington
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	Mr. Christopher C. Kempley Chief Counsel
12	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	

Decision No. 69333

í